SUBJECT: Artillery Fire and Effect, US Ninth Army, Roer River Crossing, February 23, ls45

1. This is an informal report in response to your request for information on the subject of the volume of artillery fire employed by the us Ninth Army in support of its crossing of the Roer River on February 23, 1945. Three principal secondary sources have been used as sources in the preparation of this report:
a. Conquer: The Story of the Ninth Army, 1944-45 (Washington: Infantry Journal Press, 1947).
b. Allen Mick, ed., With the 102 nd Infantry Division through Germany (Washington: Infantry Journal Press, 1947).
c. Charles B. MacDonald, The Last Offensive (Washington: GPO, 1974).

In order to obtain further details it would be necessary to devote a considerable amount of time to a research effort in the records of artillery battalions and other units in the Federal Records Center. Since it is believed that the following paragraphs, and the four enclosed charts, based on the three secondary sources, will provide most of the essentials which you mentioned, no attempt has been made to locate the additional materials. Our past research experience indicates, however, that much more detailed information is available, if the expenditure of time, effort, and funds is warranted.
2. Figure lis a compilation of the Ninth Army Artillery reports for February 22-23, 1945 (from 0600 on February 22 to 0600 on February 23). This report shows the number of artillery tubes that participated, including some tank destroyer and AAA guns that provided fire support, particularly during the pre-assault preparation. That preparation began at 0245 on February 23, and continued for 45 minutes; the assault began at 0330. The following points should be noted with respect to Figure 1:
a. The number of rounds; fired before 0245, february 23, is assumed to have been negligible; probably not over 2,000 rounds.
b. It is assumed that between 0330 and 0600 on February 23 the artillery rate was the same as that of the rest of the day. The total expenditure between 0600 on February 23 and 0600 on February 24 of approximately 110,000 rounds, as shown on Figure 2, was probably made during roughly 10 hours of daylight (and an hour earlier and later) at an approximate rate of 9,200 rounds per hour. At this rate, in the two and a half hours between 0330 and 0600 about 23,000 rounds would have been fired.
c. Based upon the two previous assumptions, it is assumed that about 45,000 rounds (approximately $65 \%$ of the total expenditure shown on Figure 1 ,
and about 1,000 rounds per minute) were fired during the preparation.
3. Since available data does not identify targets, the results of the artillery fire must be estimated. The data presented on Figure 3 provides a basis for calculating the theoretical effect of artillery fire during the total 24 -hour period 10600 February. 22-0600 February 23 ), and for the preparatica. This chart repeats the information shown on Figure 1, for rounds expended: by caliber, and converts these expenditures to the total areas that could theoretically have been covered, had there been no overlapping of effect. Thus, the total expenditure of 69,598 rounds for the 24 -hour period theoretically could have covered an area of 56.18 million square yards. The area that theoretically could have been covered during the preparation would have been about $65 \%$ of that, or 36.52 million square yards. Assuming an approximately 50\% overlap in the normal salvo or volley sheaf, the area that theoretically could have been covered during the preparation would have been 18.26 million square yards. It must be assumed; however, that each target was hit by five to ten volleys, thus the areas actually devastated during the preparation probably totalled about 2.43 million square yards.
4. The total front of the Army was 30 miles, although only a holding attack was made along approximately half of this distance. Had the volume of fire discussed above been spread equally on 36.52 million square yards on the far bank of the Roer River, the depth of the effective continuous coverage would have been about 690 yards; single volleys fired with a normal sheaf would have placed effective fire on the far bank of the river to a depth of 345 yards; and with an average of 7.5 volleys per target, the belt of devastation would have been about 50 yards deep. For the fifteen mile frontage of the main offensive effort, three-fourths of the preparation volume of fire would have covered theoretically to a depth of about 1,035 yards; single volleys with normal sheaf would have covered a belt about 515 yards deep; and with an average of 7.5 volleys per target, the devastated belt would have been about 70 yards deep. These figures are presented in tabular form below:

|  | Area Covered $\left(1,000,000 \mathrm{yds}^{2}\right)$ | Army Front 30 miles (Depth/yds) | Main Effort Front 15 miles (Depth/yds) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theoretical maximum | 36.52 | 690 | 1,035 |
| Normal Sheaf 1 volley | 18.26 | 345 | 520 |
| Normal Sheaf 7-8 volleys | 2.43 | 50 | 70 |

5. The sources available to us for this summary report do not provide very much information about the actual effectiveness of the preparation, except by implication. Although German resistance was encountered by the assaulting troops, it was not very effective. It was more than ten minutes after the preparation ended that German artillery was able to begin to respond to the assault. And total Ninth Army casualties on the day of the assault amounted to only 91 killed, 62 missing, and 913 wounded, out of a total of 11 divisions, or a total percent loss of less than $0.7 \%$; which was only about $70 \%$ of the average daily u.s. division loss rate for active combat in the European Theater in World War II.
6. For a period of about fourteen hours intensity after 0330 on the 23rd, the intensity of fire was only about one-sixth of that during the 45-minute preparation. Nonetheless, during those fourteen hours a total volume of nearly 130,000 rounds was fired in support of the assaulting elements. This was a total volume about 2.83 times that of the preparation. Based upon the line of reasoning presented in paragraphs 3 and 4 aoove, and on the compilation in Figure 4, the Army's front could have been covered by effective belts of continuous artillery fire of varying intensities of devastation as shown below:

| Area Covered <br> $\left(1,000,000 \mathrm{yds}^{2}\right)$ | Army <br> 30 miles <br> (Depth/yds) | Main Effort Front <br> 15 miles <br> (Depth/yds) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103.35 | 1,950 | 2,930 |
| 51.68 | 975 | 1,465 |
| 6.89 | 130 | 195 |

7. Please let me know if more information is required.

T.N. Dupuy<br>Executive Director

Figure 1
NINTH ARMY ARTILLERY AMMUNITION EXPENDITURE
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Figure 2
NINTH ARMY ARTIL工ERY AMMUNITION EXPENDITURE

| Type | XIII Corps |  | XVI Corps |  | XIX Corps |  | 34th FA Bn |  | Total Guns | Total Rounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rounds R/G | Guns | Rounds R/G | Guns | Rounds R/G | Guns | Rounds R/G | Guns |  |  |
| 25 pounder |  |  | 2,600 1085 | 24 |  |  |  |  | 24 | 2,600 |
| 105 mm How M2 | 19,588 96.0 | 204 | 9,016 63.2 | 142 | 30,056 135 2 | 222 |  |  | 568 | 58,660 |
| 4.5" Gun | 34028.4 | 12 | 78932.8 | 24 | 84770.6 | 12 |  |  | 48 | 1,976 |
| 155 mm How | 8,142 84.8 | 96 | 2,500 52.2 | 48 | 12,222 1131 | 108 |  |  | 252 | 22,864 |
| 155 mm Gun Ml | 1,183 49.2 | 24 | 70429.4 | 24 | 2,572 107.2 | 24 |  |  | 72 | 4,459 |
| 155 man Gun Ml2 | 72356.2 | 13 |  |  | 63452.8 | 12 |  |  | 25 | 1,357 |
| 8" How | 37531.3 | 12 |  |  | 2,172 90.5 | 24 |  |  | 36 | 2,547 |
| 8" Gun |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27145.2 | 6 | 6 | 271 |
| 240 mm How |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47926.6 |  | 18 | 479 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Weapons in an Artillery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3" Gun | 86924.1 | 36 |  |  | 7,633 42.4 | 180 |  |  | 216 | 8,502 |
| 75 mm How |  |  |  |  | 4,100 56.9 | 72 |  |  | 72 | 4,100 |
| 75 mm Gun | 87316.1 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 873 |
| 76 mm Gun | 59716.5 | 36 | 30116.7 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 54 | 898 |
| 90 man Gun AA | $278 \quad 3.8$ | 72 | 1023.1 | 32 |  |  |  |  | 104 | 380 |
| Totals | 32,968 | 548 | 16,012 | 309 | 60,236 | 668 | 750 | 24 | 1,549 | 109,966 |

## Figure 3

| Type | XIII Corps | XVI Corps | XIX Corps | Army Arty | ```Total Rounds/Caliber``` | Effective Area Covered* (yds ${ }^{2}$ ) | Theoretical Area by Caliber (yds ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 pounder |  | 187 |  |  | 187 | 450 | 84,150 |
| 105 mm How M2 | 13,715 | 1,497 | 21,049 |  | 36,261 | 750 | 27,195,750 |
| 4.5" Gun | 645 | 412 | 604 |  | 1,661 | 850 | 1,411,850 |
| 155 mm How | 6,488 | 582 | 7,042 |  | 14,112 |  |  |
| 155 mm Gun Ml | 1,385 | 380 | 1,435 |  | 3,200 ${ }^{18,447}$ | 1,080 | 19,922,760 |
| 155 mm Gun M12 | 692 |  | 443 |  | 1,135 |  |  |
| 8" How | 369 |  | 1,204 |  | $1,573\} 1,856$ | 1,600 | 2,969,600 |
| 8" Gun |  |  |  | 283 | 283 1 , 856 | 1,600 | 2,969,600 |
| 240 mm How |  |  |  | 371 | 371 | 2,500 | 927,500 |
| 3" Gun | 627 |  | 4,476 |  | 5,103] |  |  |
| 75 mm How |  |  | 1,508 |  | 1,508 ${ }^{\text {, }}$,533 | 300 | 2,859,900 |
| 75 mm Gun | 2,922 |  |  |  | 2,922 |  |  |
| 90 mm Gun AA | 1,342 |  |  |  | 1,342 | 600 | 805,200 |
| Total | 28,125 | 3,058 | 37,761 | 654 | 69,598 | 807.2 | 56,176,710 |

* From FM6-40, 1950.
NINTH ARMY ARTIILERY EFFECT
060022 Feb - 060023 Feb 1945
Figure 4
NINTH ARMY ARTILLERY EFFECT
$060023 \mathrm{Feb}-060024$ Feb 1945

| Type | XIII Corps | XVI Corps | XIX Corps | Army Arty | Total <br> Rounds/Caliber | Effective Area Covered (yds ${ }^{2}$ ) | Theoretical Area by Caliber tyds ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 pounder |  | 2,600 |  |  | 2,600 | 450 | 1,170,000 |
| 105 mm How M2 | 19,588 | 9,016 | 30,056 |  | 58,660 | 750 | 43,995,000 |
| 4.5" Gun | 340 | 789 | 847 |  | 1,976 | 850 | 1,679,600 |
| 155 mm How | 8,142 | 2,500 | 12,222 |  | 22,864 |  |  |
| 155 mm Gun Ml | 1,183 | 704 | 2,572 |  | 4,459 > 28,680 | 1,080 | 30,974,400 |
| 155 mm Gun M12 | 723 |  | 634 |  | 1,357) |  |  |
| $8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ How | 375 |  | 2,172 | 271 | 2,547 ${ }^{271}$ 2,818 | 1,600 | 4,508,800 |
| 8" Gun |  |  |  | 479 | 271 479 | 2,500 |  |
| 240mm How 3 l Gun | 869 |  | 7,633 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 479 \\ 8,502 \end{array}$ | 2,500 | 1,197,500 |
| 75 mm How |  |  | 4,100 |  | 4,100 $\}_{14,373}$ | 300 | 4,311,900 |
| 75 mm Gun | 873 |  |  |  | 873 14,373 |  |  |
| 76 mm Gun | 597 | 301 |  |  | 898 |  |  |
| 90 mm Gun AA | 278 | 102 |  |  | 380 | 600 | 228,000 |
| Total | 32,968 | 16,012 | 60,236 | 750 | 109,966 | 800.8 | 88,065,200 |

